UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,154	09/23/2003	Mark Trocki	CT/00-001.CIP.D5 2871		
21140 GREGORY L l	7590 12/21/2007 BRADLEY		EXAMINER		
MEDRAD INC			MACNEILL, ELIZABETH		
ONE MEDRAD DRIVE INDIANOLA, PA 15051		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
n Di n (Ob. 1,			3767		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
, Offi	as Astion Summan	10/670,154	TROCKI ET AL.			
y Om	ce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	AU INO DATE CUI	Elizabeth R. MacNeill	3767			
Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 De</u>	ecember 2007.				
2a)⊠ This act	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
• •	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed i	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of C	aims					
4a) Of th 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☑ Claim(s 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,8,10,11 and 14-17</u> is/are pending the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn) is/are allowed.) <u>1,5,6,8, 10,11, and 14-17</u> is/are reject to is/are objected to.) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. ted.				
Application Pape	ers					
10)∭ The draw Applican Replace	cification is objected to by the Examiner wing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept that any objection to the comment drawing sheet(s) including the correction or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination.	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/670,154 Page 2

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,5,6,8, 10,11, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Runnells et al (US 3,752,145) in view of Niehoff (US 5,662,612).

 Runnells teaches a method of operating an injector detailed at Col 3: "A tube is then attached to the outlet 22 of the syringe and the free end of the tube is submerged in contrast solution. Air is bled from the syringe by advancing the piston plate 14 toward the outlet 22. Additional contrast solution may then be drawn through the tube into the syringe housing by retracting the piston plate." Runnells does not disclose sensing the syringe and automatically advancing the piston of the injector.

Niehoff discloses a power injector which automatically senses the presence and capacity of a syringe and advances and retracts the plunger automatically (see Abstract). As to claim 6, see Niehoff Fig 1a and Col 1, last paragraph.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the automated power injector of Niehoff with the loading and priming method of Runnells in order to reduce human error and accurately sense the syringe and plunger position in a loaded syringe (Niehoff abstract).

Art Unit: 3767

ç

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3 December 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that Niehoff does not teach automatically advancing the piston to engage the plunger. See Col 4 line 1-3, "the offset value may be automatically computed by detecting physical indicia on the syringe or extender which indicate the length of the extender." The extended does not always extend to the back of the syringe but may vary based on the type of syringe. Thus a sensor is provided to detect the location of the plunger and then the controller calculates the position of the extender (if present) and the plunger. The sensor would detect if the syringe where empty and the plunger were positioned at the front of the syringe. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the syringe is primed without user observation) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3767

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth R. MacNeill whose telephone number is (571)-272-9970. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ERM WANNING

KEVIN C. SIRMONS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER